LENDRUM COURT LAND USE CONTROL AREAS A AND B SITE-SPECIFIC ADDENDUM TO THE PRESIDIO TRUST LAND USE CONTROLS MASTER REFERENCE REPORT

PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Presidio Trust 1750 Lincoln Boulevard, P.O. Box 29052 San Francisco, California 94129-0052

May 2022 For the Presidio Trust by TRC Solutions, Inc.

fur fil ful

Justin Hanzel-Durbin, PE, QSD Senior Engineer/Project Manager

anul anom

Daniel Parsons, QSP, EIT Project Engineer

LENDRUM COURT LAND USE CONTROL AREAS A AND B SITE-SPECIFIC ADDENDUM TO THE PRESIDIO TRUST LAND USE CONTROLS MASTER REFERENCE REPORT

Presidio of San Francisco, California

CONTENTS

Page

1.	INTRODUCTION AND DECISION DOCUMENT	1
2.	AREAS INCLUDED IN THE LAND USE CONTROL	1
3.	REMEDIATION SUMMARY AND REMAINING CHEMICALS OF CONCERN	1
	3.1 Site History and Remedial Activities3.2 Residual Chemicals that Necessitate the LUC and Potential Receptors	2 4
4.	SITE-SPECIFIC LAND USE RESTRICTIONS	4
5.	INSPECTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR REQUIREMENTS	6
6.	REFERENCES	8

Tables:

- 1. Lendrum Court Land Use Control Area Survey Coordinates
- 2. Concentrations of COCs Remaining in LUC Area Soil

Figures:

- 1. Site Location Map
- 2. LUC Areas and Remaining COC Concentrations in Soils
- 3. Site Plan
- 4. Cap Cross-Sections
- 5. COC Remedial Action Confirmation Sampling Results at LUC Area A and LUC Area B
- 6. RI Sample Locations at LUC Area A

Attachments:

- 1. Analytical Results for Lendrum Court Land Use Control Area Soil
- 1A: Lendrum Court Remedial Investigation and Remedial Action Summary of Soil Sampling Results, LUC Area A
- 1B: Summary of Confirmation Soil Sampling Results, LUC Area B

1. INTRODUCTION AND DECISION DOCUMENT

The Lendrum Court Site (Site) is shown on Figure 1, and was remediated in conformance with the *Final Removal Action Work Plan, Lendrum Court, Presidio of San Francisco, California* (RAWP) (TRC, 2015), which was approved by the California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) on August 5, 2015. DTSC transmitted the August 5, 2015 *Final RAWP, Approval Record* to the Presidio Trust (Trust) in a letter dated August 12, 2015. The approved remedy included implementation of land use controls (LUCs). The following outlines the Site-Specific LUCs for the Site and is incorporated as an addendum to the *Presidio Trust Land Use Controls Master Reference Report, Presidio of San Francisco, California* (LUCMRR) (Presidio Trust, 2009).

2. AREAS INCLUDED IN THE LAND USE CONTROL

There are three LUC Areas associated with the Lendrum Court Site: the Lendrum Court Cap Area, the North of Building 1255/1256 Forest Area, and the Incinerator Area. The Lendrum Court Cap (LUC Area A) and North of Building 1255/1256 Forest Area (LUC Area B) limits are defined based on a survey of post-remediation Site conditions as documented in the *Final Construction Completion Report, Lendrum Court, Presidio of San Francisco, California (CCR)* (TRC, 2019). The Incinerator Area limits are defined in the RAWP. The Incinerator Area generally underlies the Presidio Parkway (Doyle Drive replacement roadway) which is located in Area B of the Presidio and is maintained by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). The Incinerator Area will be addressed in a subsequent LUCMRR Addendum.

Figure 2 shows the location and extent of the LUC areas. LUC coordinates (as north, south, west, and east boundary coordinates of each LUC area) are summarized in Table 1 and shown on Figure 2. The Lendrum Court Cap and Forest LUC Areas are located in the North Fort Scott Area within Area B of the Presidio, which is managed by the Trust.

3. REMEDIATION SUMMARY AND REMAINING CHEMICALS OF CONCERN

This section describes remedial actions implemented at Lendrum Court and identifies chemicals of concern (COCs) remaining in soil above applicable cleanup levels (CULs) in the two LUC areas. Detailed summaries of remedial activities for Lendrum Court are provided in the Remedial Investigation (RI) Summary Report (EKI, 2015), RAWP (TRC, 2015) and CCR (TRC, 2019).

3.1 Site History and Remedial Activities

The Site history is described in detail in the RI prepared by Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. (EKI, 2015). Prior to 1936, the area was generally open space. The US Army operated an incinerator just south of the Site. The incinerator was abandoned in 1936 when Doyle Drive, the predecessor roadway to the present-day Presidio Parkway, was constructed. The Army generally disposed of debris and incinerator ash associated with incinerator operations in piles at the ground surface in the area of present day Lendrum Court. Doyle Drive was constructed above the foundation of the former incinerator, effectively burying the incinerator, debris, and ash beneath the roadway. Debris and ash placed outside the highway footprint in present day Lendrum Court remained in place.

In 1970 and 1971, the Site was developed for residential use by Army personnel. The residential area was graded to create a series of terraces generally sloping to the northeast. Debris and ash associated with the former incinerator was mixed into shallow soils during grading. Buildings 1255, 1256, 1257, 1258, 1259, 1278, 1279, 1280, and 1282, were constructed around present day Lendrum Court. The residential buildings were built on the graded surface and landscaping was installed around the buildings. The northeastern slope, behind Buildings 1259, 1278, and 1279 was planted in orchard style with large trees and a thick understory of smaller statured trees and shrubs. This area is designated as Historic Forest, as described in the 2002 *Presidio Trust Management Plan* (PTMP).

The Presidio Trust conducted remedial investigations beginning in 2010. The RI report was completed and approved by DTSC in May 2015. The RAWP was completed and approved shortly thereafter in August 2015.

For the Lendrum Court area, the preferred remedial alternative consisted of: excavation and consolidation of waste; construction of a soil cap above consolidated waste; revegetation, and post-remediation maintenance; and implementation of LUCs. Waste that could not be consolidated was disposed of off-site. The processes and procedures guiding implementation of the approved remedy were outlined in the *Revised Remedial Design and Implementation Plan (Revised RDIP), Lendrum Court, Presidio of San Francisco, California* (TRC, 2016) which was approved by DTSC on June 2, 2016.

The Trust implemented the approved remedy at Lendrum Court between June 2016 and September 2017. The remedial action activities are documented in detail in the CCR (TRC, 2019) and summarized below. A site plan is provided in Figure 3, and cap as-built details are provided in Figure 4.

- Waste Excavation and Off-Site Disposal Several areas were clean closed by removing contaminated soil and debris to extents determined by confirmation sampling and analysis, which were conducted in conformance with the sampling plan included in the Revised RDIP, or to impermeable surfaces, such as existing sidewalks, bedrock, etc. Additionally, surface soil that was unsuitable for reuse due to heavy organic and root content was excavated and stockpiled for off-site disposal. Approximately 1,577 cubic yards of soil was disposed as non-RCRA California hazardous waste and approximately 1,000 cubic yards of soil was disposed as California non-hazardous waste.
- Waste Excavation, Consolidation and Capping Except for soil unsuitable for reuse, soils excavated for clean closure and for general rough grading were transferred to areas where consolidation under the clean soil cap was feasible. When excavation and consolidation activities were complete, approximately 7,000 cubic yards of clean soil was imported to construct the soil cap, which was later vegetated for stability. Additionally, new and existing hard scape elements such as sidewalks, paths, patios, and roadways serve as part of the cap. The capping elements are shown on Figures 2 and 3, and are summarized below.
 - <u>Buildings and Patios</u>: Buildings 1257, 1258, 1259, 1278 and 1279 and their associated patios serve as caps for impacted material beneath foundations.
 - <u>Other Hardscaping</u>: The following hardscape elements provide cap protection as well:
 - asphalt concrete paved streets and paths,
 - cement concrete sidewalks, drainage elements, and stairs,
 - aggregate base pads adjacent to residences,
 - aggregate base paths through soil capped areas.
 - <u>Vegetated Cap</u>: Open ground areas that were not covered by the structures listed above were capped with 18 inches of clean imported soil over gopher wire mesh and planted with vegetation.
 - <u>Tree Island Cap</u>: Landscaped area located in Lendrum Court where gopher wire and a minimum of two inches of wood mulch and compacted aggregate base was installed surrounding existing tree to serve as cap.
 - <u>Vegetated Cover</u>: Forest area north of Buildings 1255 and 1256 (LUC Area B) to act as a vegetated cover.

• Establishment of a LUC – The approved remedy includes implementation of sitespecific LUCs in areas where waste is left to be managed in place. These LUCs are documented below.

3.2 Residual Chemicals that Necessitate the LUC and Potential Receptors

Table 2 summarizes COCs in remaining soil above cleanup levels at LUC Areas A and B. For LUC Area B, lead is the only COC retained due to the absence of ash or incinerator debris in soil. COCs are also summarized on Figure 2. There are no groundwater COCs.

Data tables summarizing concentrations of COCs in soil left in place at LUC Area A (Lendrum Court Cap Area) and LUC Area B (North of Building 1255/1256 Forest Area) are provided in Attachment 1 and sampling locations are provided in Figures 5 and 6. Figure 6 presents the locations of RI soil sampling locations that correspond to soil that was consolidated and capped at LUC Area A.

The current and planned land use at Lendrum Court is residential, ecological buffer zone in landscaped areas, and ecological special status in the Historic Forest, located northeast and east of the residences. As presented in the RAWP, the potential human receptors for exposure to soils are residents, recreational visitors, and commercial/industrial workers. Potential ecological receptors include plants, soil invertebrates, birds and mammals.

4. SITE-SPECIFIC LAND USE RESTRICTIONS

The following site-specific land use restrictions and notifications apply within the Lendrum Court Cap LUC Area:

LUC AREA A

- Health & Safety Requirements Personnel potentially exposed to soils in the Lendrum Court Cap LUC Area shall follow a site-specific Health and Safety Plan, have the appropriate level of health and safety training, and use the appropriate level of personal protective equipment specified in a Health and Safety Plan.
- Soil Management Requirements Soil excavated from the Lendrum Court Cap LUC Area shall be managed and/or disposed in accordance with Presidio policies and procedures and applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations. Earthwork associated with any activity beyond general Operations and Maintenance (O&M) will be performed in accordance with the DTSC approved Presidio Wide Soil Management Plan (currently in development) or equivalent Site-Specific Soil Management Plan (SSSMP). For consistency with the Presidio Wide Soil

Management Plan, "routine" vs "non-routine" work will be used to determine when a SSSMP is required. Typical routine activities include repair of soil cover due to erosion, plant replacement, irrigation line repair, utility repair, and sidewalk and street repair. Non-routine activities may include major earthwork repair due to slope failure, installation of a new utility line below the engineered soil Cap, or construction of new structures with foundations.

- Surface Cover Requirements Contaminated soil in the Lendrum Court Cap LUC Area shall remain covered with a minimum of 18 inches of clean soil underlain by gopher wire, or covered with hardscape elements equivalent to what was constructed during remediation as shown on Figure 4.
- Tenant Disclosure and Restrictions Requirements Disclosure of the LUC to tenants of Buildings 1255, 1256, 1257, 1258, 1259, 1278, 1279, 1280, and 1282 is required. This Site-specific addendum to the LUCMRR shall be incorporated by reference in each and every lease for any portion of the property. Current tenant restrictions on soil-disturbing activities and prohibitions on planting in-ground or disturbing the ground surface will continue to be enforced. Raised planter beds are prohibited unless constructed with an impermeable bottom, atop hardscape or elevated off the ground surface so that plant roots do not contact soil beneath.
- Notification Requirements During the project planning and permitting process, the appropriate Trust staff and project proponents shall be notified of the presence of site COCs and the LUC area so that informed decisions regarding project implementation can be made. Notification to DTSC will be required 30 days prior to the start of nonroutine work along with a SSSMP that includes information about monitoring and mitigation measures. Routine soil disturbing activities in Area A and B will be reported in the dig permit tracking table in the Annual O&M Report.
- Sensitive Use Restrictions Restrictions are in place against operation of schools, hospitals, playground and daycares onsite without further remediation and written approval by DTSC.

The following site-specific land use restrictions and notifications apply to the North of Building 1255/1256 Forest Area LUC Area:

LUC AREA B

- Health & Safety Requirements Personnel potentially exposed to soils in the North of Building 1255/1256 Forest Area LUC Area shall follow a site-specific Health and Safety Plan, have the appropriate level of health and safety training, and use the appropriate level of personal protective equipment specified in a Health and Safety Plan.
- Vegetation Requirement The area will remain forested with understory vegetation that is comprised of dense vegetation acting as a barrier to exposure. If the area is

deforested in the future, the Trust will consult with DTSC on the need for and nature of additional remediation measures to be implemented.

- Soil Management Requirements Soil excavated from the North of Building 1255/1256 Forest Area LUC Area shall be managed and/or disposed in accordance with Presidio policies and procedures and applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations. Earthwork associated with any activity beyond general O&M will be performed in accordance with the DTSC approved Presidio Wide Soil Management Plan (currently in development) or equivalent SSSMP. For consistency with the Presidio Wide Soil Management Plan, "routine" vs "non-routine" work will be used to determine when a SSSMP is required. Routine activities include repair of soil cover due to erosion, fence repair, and plant replacement. Non-routine activities in this area are unlikely but could include tree removal and change of land use.
- Tenant Disclosure and Restriction Requirements Disclosure of the LUC to tenants in Buildings 1255, 1256, 1257, 1258, 1259, 1278, 1279, 1280 and 1282 and in the vicinity as warranted. This Site-specific addendum to the LUCMRR shall be incorporated by reference in each and every lease for any portion of the property. Current tenant restrictions on soil-disturbing activities and planting will continue to be enforced. No raised planter beds are permitted in Lendrum LUC Area B.
- Notification Requirements During the planning and permitting process for any routine maintenance or non-routine project, the appropriate Trust staff and project proponents shall be notified of the presence of lead above the applicable CUL and that the vegetated barrier within the LUC area must be maintained, and if removed, additional remediation may be required. Notification to DTSC will be required 30 days prior to the start of non-routine work along with a SSSMP that includes information about monitoring and mitigation measures. Routine soil disturbing activities in Area A and B will be reported in the dig permit tracking table in the Annual O&M Report.
- Sensitive Use Restrictions Restrictions are in place against construction of housing and/or operation of schools, hospitals, playground and daycares onsite without further remediation and written approval by DTSC.

5. INSPECTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR REQUIREMENTS

The Trust has prepared an Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan for the Lendrum Court Site outlining post-closure requirements for inspection, repair, and upkeep of the constructed cap and forest area (TRC, 2019). The O&M Plan is a part of the remedy at Lendrum Ct. Additionally, the Lendrum Court LUC Areas will be inspected annually in accordance with the LUCMRR.

Activities completed as part of post-closure O&M and the results of inspections and maintenance of the Lendrum Court Cap and Forest area will be summarized in the annual Presidio O&M report submitted to DTSC in March of the following calendar year in conformance with the approved O&M Agreement (DTSC, 2012).

The first Five-Year Review Report for the site will be completed in 2022. All subsequent Five-Year Review Reports will be completed five years from the date of the prior Five-Year Review Report. Five-year reviews will follow guidance documents provided by the EPA at the following location: https://www.epa.gov/superfund/writing-five-year-reviews-superfund-sites.

6. **REFERENCES**

California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) (2015) Final Removal Action Work Plan, Lendrum Court, Presidio of San Francisco, California, Approval Record. August 5.

DTSC, 2012. Presidio Operation and Maintenance Agreement. December 3.

Erler & Kalinowski (EKI), 2015. Remedial Investigation Summary Report and Screening Risk Evaluation, Presidio of San Francisco. May.

Presidio Trust, 2002. Presidio Trust Management Plan.

- Presidio Trust, 2009. Presidio Trust Land Use Controls Master Reference Report, Presidio of San Francisco, California. September.
- TRC Solutions, Inc. (TRC), 2015. Removal Action Work Plan, Lendrum Court, Presidio of San Francisco, California. July.
- TRC, 2016. Final Remediation Design and Implementation Plan, Lendrum Court, Presidio of San Francisco, California. May.
- TRC, 2019a. Final Construction Completion Report, Lendrum Court, Presidio of San Francisco, California. November.
- TRC, 2019b. Final Operations and Maintenance Plan, Lendrum Court, Presidio of San Francisco, California. November.

			LE 1		
Site Name	LENDRUM COURT	Coord	ROL AREA SURVE	Y COORDINATES	ndum Information
(Trust GIS System)	LUCs	Eastings	Northings	Name	Date
LendCt_LUCAREA_A	 Health & Safety Soil Management Surface Cover requirements Notification 	5992217.5 5992202.5 5992006.5 599244.5783	2121737.5 2121440 2121591.5 2121577.6061	Lendrum Court Cap Area	7/16/2018
LendCt_LUCAREA_B	Health & SafetySoil ManagementNotification	5991961.0084 5991978.8571 5991993.4963 5991974.5	2121657.4009 2121667.6509 2121655.0565 2121633.5503	Lendrum Court North of Building 1255/1256 Forest Area	7/16/2018

		TABLE 2		
CONCENTRAT	ONS OF COCs REMAIN	ING IN LENDRUM CO	URT LAND USE CONTE	ROL AREA SOIL
СОС	LUC Area A – Lendrum Court Cap Area ¹	LUC Area A – Site- Specific Cleanup Level ²	LUC Area B – Lendrum Court North of Building 1255/1256 Forest Area ³	LUC Area B – Site- Specific Cleanup Level ⁴
Metals (mg/kg)				
Arsenic	3.4 - 10	6.2	N/A	-
Barium	83 - 920	500	N/A	
Copper	13 - 440	120	N/A	
Lead	3 - 2,400	80	8.1 - 500	160
Zinc	31 - 1,100	160	N/A	-
PAHs (mg/kg)				
Benzo(a)pyrene	< 0.0051 - 0.097	0.046	N/A	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	< 0.051 - 0.69	0.046	N/A	
Dioxins/Furans (pg/g)				
Dioxins and Furans	0.0033 - 17.8	3.5	N/A	

Table 2 Notes:

¹See Attachment 1A for summary of remaining COC concentrations in soil at LUC Area A.

² Site-specific cleanup levels for the Lendrum Court Cap LUC Area landscaped/residential areas are the lower of the residential and ecological buffer zone (TRC, 2015).

³ See Attachment 1B for summary of remaining COC concentrations in soil at LUC Area B.

⁴Lead was the only COC retained in the RAWP for the Lendrum Court Forest LUC Area; site-specific cleanup level for special-status ecological receptor (TRC, 2015).

-- – not applicable

 COC – chemical of concern

LUC - land use control

mg/kg – milligrams per kilogram

N/A – Not analyzed

pg/g – picograms per gram

Figures





LEGEND



- 3 PAHS - POLYCYCLIC AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS
- 4 pg/g - PICOGRAMS PER GRAM 5.
- TCDD TEQ 2,3,7,8-TETRACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIOXIN 6. TOXIC EQUIVALENT QUOTIENT
- ¹COC RESULTS FROM THE LENDRUM COURT REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUMMARY REPORT AND SCREENING LEVEL RISK EVALUATION (EKI, 2015).
- ²COC RESULTS FROM THE DRAFT CONSTRUCTION 8. COMPLETION REPORT (TRC, 2018).



SOURCE: Base map by Towill, Oct.- Nov. 2015, Apr. 2016, May 2017, and Jan. 2018

THE PRESIDIO TRUST LENDRUM COURT AREA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

FITI F

ILE NO

PROJECT

LUC AREAS AND REMAINING COC CONCENTRATIONS IN SOILS

DRAWN BY:	K. LI	PROJ NO.:	229649.00005A.00000D
CHECKED BY:	C. PLATH		
APPROVED BY:	J. H-D		FIGURE 2
DATE:	AUGUST 2018		
			505 Sansome Street

CTRC Fig2 Extent of the Lendrum Court LUC Area_20220504.dwg

505 Sansome Street Suite 1600 San Francisco, CA 94111 Phone: 415.434.2600



LEGEND

















1

4









APPROXIMATE REMEDIAL ACTION AREA

SURFACE CONTOURS (OUTSIDE PROJECT AREA)

FINAL PROJECT SURFACE CONTOURS

APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF VEGETATED SOIL CAP

APPROXIMATE AREAS OF BUILDING THAT SERVE AS CAP

AREAS OF ASPHALT, PAVEMENT, AB PADS, AND HARDSCAPE THAT SERVE AS CAP

APPROXIMATE AREAS EXCAVATED AND CLEAN CLOSED

APPROXIMATE AREAS EXCAVATED TO EXPOSED BEDROCK (CLEAN CLOSURE AREA)

TREE ISLAND CAP COMPACTED AGGREGATE BASE

TREE ISLAND CAP WOOD MULCH

NEW CONCRETE PATIOS, SIDEWALKS AND STAIRS

RECYCLED CONCRETE AGGREGATE BASE PATH AND BOX STEPS

NEW ASPHALT PATH

NEW AGGREGATE BASE CAP

VEGETATED LUC (NORTH 1255/1256 FOREST AREA)

APPROXIMATE TREE LOCATION

APPROXIMATE TOYON LOCATION

- CAP CROSS-SECTION DETAIL NUMBER

FIGURE NUMBER WHERE DETAIL CAN BE FOUND

SOURCE: Base map by Towill, Oct.- Nov. 2015, Apr. 2016, May 2017, and Jan. 2018

THE PRESIDIO TRUST LENDRUM COURT AREA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

SITE PLAN

DRAWN BY:	K. LI	PROJ NO.:	229649.00005A.00000D
CHECKED BY:	A. ANG		
APPROVED BY:	J. H-D		FIGURE 3
DATE:	JUNE 2018		
			EQE Operation Street



505 Sansome Street Suite 1600 San Francisco, CA 94111 Phone: 415.434.2600

Fig3 Site Plan_20220509.dwg





FILE NO .:

Fig4 Cap Cross-Sections.dwg



132[0.0]	LEGEN	D		
3.8				
15		APPR	OXIMATE SITE BOUNDARY	
23		APPR	OXIMATE REMEDIAL ACTION AREA	
41 132[1.5]	140	SURF. PROJI	ACE CONTOURS (OUTSIDE ECT AREA)	
380	<u> </u>	FINAL	PROJECT SURFACE CONTOURS	
MR		APPR SOIL (OXIMATE LIMITS OF VEGETATED CAP	
		APPR THAT	OXIMATE AREAS OF BUILDING SERVE AS CAP	
		AREA PADS, CAP	S OF ASPHALT, PAVEMENT, AB AND HARDSCAPE THAT SERVE AS	
		APPR	OXIMATE AREAS EXCAVATED AND N CLOSED	
		VEGE FORE	TATED LUC (NORTH 1255/1256 ST AREA)	
	\triangle	PERIM LOCA	IETER CONFIRMATION SAMPLING TION (2016)	
ALLANDARD CONTRACTOR	•	EXCA LOCA	VATION CONFIRMATION SAMPLING	
		LAND: LEVEL	SCAPED / RESIDENTIAL SCREENING S	
33[0.0]		FORE	ST / RECREATION SCREENING .S	
4.8 170		PERIN LOCA	IETER CONFIRMATION SAMPLING TION (2017)	
<u>17</u> 50	•	EXCA LOCA	VATION CONFIRMATION SAMPLING TION (2017)	
56	•	SOILS	SAMPLES (2015)	
33[1.5]	0	HALE	/ & ALDRICH SAMPLE (2011)	
3.8	NOTEO			
16	NOTES			
3	1. ALL RES	SULTS SHOWN A BLE 1A IN ATTAC	RE DETECTED CONCENTRATIONS. HMENT 1 FOR COMPLETE SUMMARY	,
	OF RES	ULTS.		
		5	Sample ID	
	Ana	alyte (Concentration (mg/kg)	
		(e s	Concentration (mg/kg) exceeds applicable ecreening level	
	SOURCE: Base	map by Towill, Oct	Nov. 2015, Apr. 2016, May 2017, and Jan. 201	8
	PROJECT:			
			JM COURT AREA	
		SAN FRAN	CISCO, CALIFORNIA	
	TITLE:	COC RE	MEDIAL ACTION	
		UC AREA	A AND LUC AREA B	ľ
	CHECKED BY:	A. ANG	PRUJ NU.: 229649.00005A.00000L	ر
/	APPROVED BY: DATE:	J. H-D AUGUST 2018	FIGURE 5	
		TRC.	505 Sansome Street Suite 1600 San Francisco CA 94111	
			Phone: 415.434.2600	
	FILE NO .:	Fig	5 Confirmation Sampling Results_20220504.dwg	g



Attachment 1

Attachment 1A: Lendrum Court Remedial Investigation and Remedial Action Summary of Soil Sampling Results, LUC Area A

											A	nalytical R	esults in m	g/kg (a)(b)							
Trench Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth (ft bgs)	Note	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Molybdenum	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
Samples collected	ed from Tree 6	•	•					<u> </u>					•	•		•		•			
430	1279SB-430	6/10/2015	0.0		< 0.55	3.9	88	0.21	<0.14	92	11	34	66 F1	0.093	< 0.55	98	<1.1	<0.28	< 0.55	48	57
431	1279SB-431	6/10/2015	1.5		<0.46	3.9	83	0.37	<0.12	69	9.5	15	4.1	0.054	<0.46	39	<0.93	<0.23	<0.46	56	31
432	1279SB-432	6/10/2015	0.0	1	<0.50	3.8	94	0.24	<0.12	150	16	15	23	0.067	<0.50	160	<0.99	<0.25	<0.50	54	41
432	1279SB-432	6/10/2015	1.5										380	0.00021							
433	1279SB-433	6/10/2015	0.0		<0.73	4.8	170	0.25	<0.18	98	34	17	50	0.057	0.46	97	<1.5	< 0.37	<0.73	55	56
433	1279SB-433	6/10/2015	1.5		<0.40	3.8	86	0.24	<0.10	61	12	16	3	0.032	<0.40	36	<0.80	<0.20	<0.40	54	31
Samples collected	ed from the Debris Layer	-		1	I	T	1	•	-	-		I		•	-				-		
304	1279TP304-D[3.5]	9/22/2014	3.5	DEBRIS	0.40	3.8	280	0.51	0.66	110	17	83	<u>490</u>	0.27	0.66	120	0.31	0.21	0.10	55	<u>470</u>
305	1279TP305-D[3.5]	9/22/2014	3.5	DEBRIS	1.8	7.2	<u>560</u>	0.57	1.4	190	25	<u>130</u>	<u>950</u>	0.53	1.1	320	0.26	0.67	0.16	63	<u>1,100</u>
A	1279TPA1-2[2.0]D	9/23/2014	2.0	ASH	2.7	6.6	<u>630</u>	0.79	1.5	55	10	<u>140</u>	<u>1,800</u>	1.5	1.1	58	0.32	0.92	0.14	7	<u>890</u>
F0	1279TPF0-1[1.5]D	9/24/2014	1.5	ASH	2.2	6.4	<u>920</u>	0.98	1.7	55	1	<u>350</u>	<u>2,400</u>	<u>1.8</u>	1.1	58	0.26	1.7	0.19	79	<u>980</u>
F2	1279TPF2-1[0.0-1.0]D	9/24/2014	1.0	ASH	1.8	6.0	<u>830</u>	1.0	1.5	100	18	<u>160</u>	<u>1,500</u>	<u>2.1</u>	1.1	130	0.28	1.5	0.18	84	<u>740</u>
	1279TPF2-1[DUP]	9/24/2014	1.0	DUP	3.8	6.5	<u>810</u>	1.1	1.4	96	14	<u>170</u>	<u>1,700</u>	<u>1.9</u>	1.2	110	0.33	1.4	0.19	86	<u>790</u>
G	1279TPG1-2[0.5-1.5]D	9/24/2014	1.5	ASH	1.9	6.6	<u>520</u>	0.60	0.94	260	29	<u>230</u>	<u>1,300</u>	0.57	0.86	450	<0.25	0.83	0.13	65	<u>610</u>
							SAMDI E				ESTICATIO	MC									
Samples collecte	ed from the Overburden						SAMPLES	SOLLEGIE			LOTIGATIO	110									
201	1279TP201-O[0.5]	6/17/2013	0.5	I	1.5	5.7	120	0.48	<0.26	67	13	18	320	0.094	0.53	50	<0.22	<0.13	0.25	55	63
202	1279TP202-O[0 75]	6/19/2013	0.75		0.31	4 1	130	0.43	<0.20	260	24	36	130	0.17	0.56	350	<0.23	<0.14	<0.069	56	110
203	1279TP203-O[1]	6/17/2013	1		1.6	53	170	0.54	<0.26	140	19	37	260	0.13	0.66	180	0.42	0.13	0.17	6	95
204	1279TP204-O[0.5]	6/20/2013	0.5		0.7	5.6	260	0.44	0.38	260	27	88	510	0.59	0.6	410	<0.22	0.33	0.27	58	290
205	1279TP205-O[0.5]	6/17/2013	0.5		4.6	8	130	0.44	<0.25	110	16	26	1.000	0.00	0.54	150	<0.21	<0.12	0.52	52	75
206	1279TP206-O[0.5]	6/20/2013	0.5		0.68	4.3	170	0.48	0.46	220	23	52	230	0.31	0.53	330	<0.21	0.22	0.11	52	200
207	1279TP207-O[0.5]	6/20/2013	0.5		1.1	6.5	290	0.41	0.63	190	30	89	550	0.63	0.43	390	<0.22	0.45	0.23	44	350
208	1279TP208-O[0.5]	6/19/2013	0.5		0.98	5.9	200	0.52	0.32	200	22	68	250	0.5	0.62	290	0.31	0.28	0.16	6	190
209	1279TP209-O[0.5]	6/19/2013	0.5		0.31	4.5	160	0.41	0.31	140	23	45	210	0.24	0.42	280	<0.22	0.18	< 0.067	43	160
210	1279TP210-O[0.5]	6/19/2013	0.5		0.27	5	120	0.35	0.26	140	19	28	180	0.39	0.33	230	0.28	<0.13	< 0.065	38	110
214	1279TP214-O[0.5]	6/18/2013	0.5		1.5	5	130	0.45	<0.25	86	14	20	160	0.09	0.42	76	<0.21	<0.13	< 0.063	60	54
215	1279TP215-O[0.5]	6/17/2013	0.5		0.6	4.9	120	0.47	<0.26	130	19	22	120	0.16	0.69	170	<0.22	<0.13	< 0.066	58	59
Residential Soil S	Creening Level (c)				29	6.2	5.000	140	1.7	1.200	4.000		80	20	360	1.400	360	360	5.7	650	22.000
Ecological Buffer	Zone Soil Screening Level (c)				5	64	500	10	0.23	23	48	120	300	1.6	300	71	1.1	2	1	5	50
Colma Formation	/Serpentinite Presidio Backgrour	nd Metals Cor	ncentrations (o	1)	3/3	6.2/5.4	180/230	0.99/1.1	0.8/1.9	140/1,700	21/170	49/85	7.5/66	0.2/0.2	2/2	110/4,500	0.5/0.5	1/1.7	1/1	90/74	79/160
				,			1			•									1		
											A	nalytical R	lesults in m	g/kg (a)(b)							
			Sample												٤						
Trench	Sample ID	Sample	Depth (ft	Note	>			٦	F	Ξ					nu					ε	
Location	Sample ID	Date	beptil (it	Note	L O	ic.	E	.i.	in	niu	±	er		λ.r	de	-	iu		L L L	diu	
			bys)		tir	ser	.E	Ž	μ	IO	ba	dd	ad	l	<u>Š</u>	l s	len	ver	alli	nac	2
					An	Ar	Ba	Be	Ca	с	ů	ပိ	Le	ž	м	ž	Se	Sil	ЧĽ	Va	Zir
Samples collected	ed from the Debris Layer					•			-		-		•	•	-			•	-		-
T1	1258EX100	10/20/2010	comp(c)	ASH	2	4.7	400	0.55	0.4	59	12	110	<u>340</u>	0.46	1.1	93	1	0.49	<0.55	5	<u>200</u>
202	1279TP202-D[5.5]	6/19/2013	5.5	ASH	0.85	5.9	<u>710</u>	0.95	0.8	300	35	<u>150</u>	<u>740</u>	0.75	1.6	530	0.43	0.66	0.42	85	<u>450</u>
203	1279TP203-D[3.5]	6/17/2013	3.5	ASH	0.93	4.9	480	0.87	<u>2.7</u>	52	15	<u>150</u>	<u>380</u>	0.6	1.4	110	0.61	0.72	0.18	67	<u>1,000</u>
204	1279TP204-D[2.5]	6/20/2013	2.5	DEBRIS	0.74	6.0	300	0.28	0.54	520	50	<u>440</u>	<u>490</u>	0.28	0.66	960	<0.22	0.56	0.27	64	<u>320</u>
205	1279TP205-D[1]	6/17/2013	1	DEBRIS	2.4	6.0	210	0.57	0.31	74	14	120	<u>480</u>	0.2	0.67	72	0.24	0.21	0.2	58	<u>190</u>
206	1279TP206-D[2.5]	6/20/2013	2.5	ASH	2.5	7.4	<u>770</u>	0.8	1.1	97	14	<u>160</u>	<u>1,100</u>	0.87	0.97	120	0.35	1.00	0.62	73	<u>700</u>
207	1279TP207-D[1]	6/20/2013	1	ASH	3.4	8.9	<u>580</u>	0.6	1.4	8	16	<u>190</u>	<u>2,100</u>	0.88	1	120	0.27	1.10	1	58	<u>910</u>
207	1279TP207-D[1]DUP	6/20/2013	1	ASH/DUP	3.4	10.0	<u>600</u>	0.59	1.6	98	22	<u>190</u>	<u>1,700</u>	0.69	1.2	160	<0.24	1.20	0.85	63	<u>940</u>
208	1279TP208-D[2]	6/19/2013	2	ASH	1.3	5.7	<u>700</u>	1.2	1.1	68	13	<u>290</u>	<u>960</u>	1.1.	1.3	64	0.57	4.1	0.61	<u>110</u>	<u>560</u>
209	1279TP209-D[4]	6/19/2013	4	DEBRIS	0.26	3.4	110	0.31	<0.27	180	23	20	59	0.19	0.29	300	<0.22	<0.13	<0.067	39	90
210	1279TP210-D[1]	6/19/2013	1	DEBRIS	0.26	3.4	140	0.3	<0.26	84	16	23	97	0.11	0.36	130	0.26	<0.13	0.14	40	80
210	1279TP210-D[1]DUP	6/19/2013	1	DUP	<0.24	3.4	140	0.27	<0.26	94	17	26	61	0.11	0.29	140	0.22	<0.13	<0.066	42	99
214	1279TP214-D[2]	6/18/2013	2	DEBRIS	2.4	6.6	390	0.52	0.31	68	11	61	<u>660</u>	1.1	0.53	58	<0.22	0.22	0.43	58	160
215	1279TP215-D[1.25]	6/17/2013	1.25	DEBRIS	0.35	4.7	140	0.55	<0.25	82	14	20	120	0.094	0.44	65	0.24	<0.13	<0.063	59	59
Residential Soil S	Screening Level (c)				29	6.2	5,000	140	1.7	1,200	4,000		80	20	360	1,400	360	360	5.7	650	22,000
Ecological Buffer	Zone Soil Screening Level (c)			0	5	64	500	10	0.23	23	48	120	300	1.6	300	71	1.1	2	1	5	50
Colma Formation	/Serpentinite Presidio Backgrour	nd Metals Cor	ncentrations (d	1)	3/3	6.2/5.4	180/230	0.99/1.1	0.8/1.9	140/1,700	21/170	49/85	7.5/66	0.2/0.2	2/2	110/4,500	0.5/0.5	1/1.7	1/1	90/74	79/160

											A	nalytical R	esults in m	g/kg (a)(b)				
Trench Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth (ft bgs)	Note	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Molybdenum	Nickel	Selenium	
Samples collecte	ed from the Base																	
201	1279TP201-B[2]	6/17/2013	2		<0.24	4.9	120	0.52	<0.27	75	17	19	8.4	0.042	0.55	52	<0.22	<
202	1279TP202-B[6.5]	6/19/2013	6.5		<0.25	4	150	0.49	<0.28	890	9	35	50	0.08	0.66	1,800	<0.23	<
203	1279TP203-B[6]	6/17/2013	6		0.25	5.5	170	0.56	<0.26	130	23	27	23	0.063	0.77	110	<0.22	<
206	1279TP206-B[3.5]	6/20/2013	3.5		0.25	3.6	79	0.32	<0.25	100	14	14	43	0.034	0.42	83	<0.21	<
210	1279TP210-B[2.5]	6/19/2013	2.5		<0.24	4.4	97	0.45	<0.26	56	18	13	9	0.11	0.51	40	<0.22	<
Residential Soil S	Pesidential Soil Screening Level (c)			29	6.2	5,000	140	1.7	1,200	4,000		80	20	360	1,400	360		
Ecological Buffer Zone Soil Screening Level (c)			5	64	500	10	0.23	23	48	120	300	1.6	300	71	1.1			
Colma Formation/	Colma Formation/Serpentinite Presidio Background Metals Concentrations (d)				3/3	6.2/5.4	180/230	0.99/1.1	0.8/1.9	140/1,700	21/170	49/85	7.5/66	0.2/0.2	2/2	110/4,500	0.5/0.5	

												Analytical	Results in I	mg/kg (b)				
Sample Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth (ft bgs)	Note	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Molybdenum	Nickel	Selenium	
Excavation West	of Building 1258 (f)		-	•		-	•	•	•									
Building 1258	1258PS205[0.75]	11/02/2016	1										200				1	Γ

Abbreviations:

-- - Not applicable or no data

<0.50 - Compound not detected at or above indicated laboratory reporting limit ASH - Ash observed in debris layer

Base - Below "Debris layer"

DEBRIS - Army era debris observed in soil Debris - Debris layer

DUP - duplicate sample

ft bgs - feet below ground surface mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram Overburden - Overburden layer

F1 = MS/MSD RPD exceeds control limits

Notes:

(a) Samples were analyzed by Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd, of Berkeley, California using EPA Method 6020/7471A. Results are reported to two significant figures.

(b) Bold value indicates detected concentration exceeds the Residential Soil Screening Level and background metals concentration. Underscored value indicates detected concentration exceeds the Ecological Buffer Zone Screening Level and background metals concentration.

(c) Residential Soil Screening Levels are Residential Human Health Preliminary Remediation Goals ("PRGs") from Table 7-2 of the Cleanup Level Document (EKI, 2002; with updates through 2013).

For lead. the California Human Health Screening Level of 80 mg/kg is applied (DTSC. 2013). Ecological Buffer Zone Soil Screening Levels are PRGs from Table 7-2 of the Cleanup Level Document (EKI. 2002: with updates through 2013). (d) Site lithology is a mixture of Colma Formation and serpentine. For screening purposes, site concentrations are compared with the higher of the two background values.

(e) This sample is a composite of two discrete samples collected from the ash and debris layer at Trench T1 from depths of 4 and 7 feet below ground surface.

(f) 1258PS205[0.75] sidewall sample was collected at the edge of sidewalk and excavation could not be extended, therefore the adjacent sidewalk and Armistead street have been incorporated into the formal LUC (TRC, 2018).

Silver	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
<0.13	<0.066	63	42
<0.14	<0.069	70	75
<0.13	0.11	66	65
<0.13	< 0.063	43	5
<0.13	<0.066	53	42
360	5.7	650	22,000
2	1	5	50
1/1.7	1/1	90/74	79/160
Silver	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc

					Analytical Results (mg/kg) (a)(b) Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons																
											Pol	ycyclic Aro	matic Hyd	rocarbons							
Trench Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth (ft bgs)	Note	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	B(a)P Equivalents (c)
Samples colle	ected from Tree 6		-	-					-			-									
430	1279SB-430	6/10/2015	0		<0.057	<0.057	<0.057	<0.057	<0.057	<0.057	<0.057	<0.057	<0.057	<0.057	0.059	<0.057	<0.057	<0.057	<0.057	0.058	
431	1279SB-431	6/10/2015	1.5		<0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	< 0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	< 0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	
432	12795D-432	6/10/2015	15		<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	
432	1279SB-433	6/10/2015	0		<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	<0.053	
433	1279SB-433	6/10/2015	1.5		< 0.0055	<0.0055	< 0.0055	<0.0055	< 0.0055	< 0.0055	<0.0055	< 0.0055	< 0.0055	< 0.0055	<0.0055	< 0.0055	<0.0055	< 0.0055	< 0.0055	< 0.0055	
		1																			
Samples colle	ected from the Overburden																				
201	1279TP201-O[0.5]	6/17/2013	0.5		<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	0.009	0.012	0.017	0.0099	<0.0052	0.011	<0.0052	0.018	<0.0052	0.01	<0.0052	0.0076	0.015	0.018
202	1279TP202-O[0.75]	6/19/2013	0.75		<0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	0.006	0.01	0.0055	<0.0055	0.0091	<0.0055	0.0094	<0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	0.006	0.0075	0.01
203	1279TP203-O[1]	6/17/2013	1		<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	0.02	0.023	0.037	0.013	0.0089	0.022	0.0053	0.03	< 0.0053	0.014	<0.0053	0.013	0.026	0.036
204	12791P204-O[0.5]	6/20/2013	0.5		<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	0.019	0.02	0.042	0.014	0.01	0.024	0.0056	0.032	<0.0052	0.016	0.0065	0.014	0.028	0.034
205	12791P205-O[0.5]	6/17/2013	0.5		<0.0052	<0.0052	0.011	0.059	0.064	0.095	0.037	0.023	0.062	0.018	0.01	<0.0052	0.043	0.015	0.047	0.076	0.10
200	1279TP200-O[0.5]	6/20/2013	0.5		<0.0052	0.0032	<0.0052	0.015	0.024	0.037	0.02	0.01	0.018	<0.0075	0.031	<0.0052 0.01	0.021	<0.0032	0.02	0.020	0.039
207	1279TP208-O[0.5]	6/19/2013	0.5		<0.0052	<0.0002	<0.0052	0.011	0.02	0.020	0.0085	<0.000	0.022	<0.0052	0.004	<0.01	0.010	<0.000	0.022	0.020	0.023
209	1279TP209-O[0.5]	6/19/2013	0.5		< 0.0054	<0.0054	< 0.0054	0.0073	0.0083	0.013	< 0.0054	< 0.0054	0.0092	<0.0054	0.0095	< 0.0054	<0.0054	< 0.0054	0.006	0.013	0.013
210	1279TP210-O[0.5]	6/19/2013	0.5		< 0.0053	< 0.0053	< 0.0053	0.0095	0.01	0.017	0.0053	< 0.0053	0.0110	< 0.0053	0.019	< 0.0053	< 0.0053	< 0.0053	0.012	0.014	0.016
214	1279TP214-O[0.5]	6/18/2013	0.5		< 0.0053	< 0.0053	< 0.0053	0.0065	0.0079	0.012	0.0063	<0.0053	0.0079	<0.0053	0.011	0.051	0.0062	< 0.0053	0.0061	0.01	0.013
215	1279TP215-O[0.5]	6/17/2013	0.5		<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.0084	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.006	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.0056	0.006
Residential So	il Screening Level (d)				2,700		5,900	0.46	0.046	0.46	620	4.6	46.0	0.046	820	770	0.46	910	600	620	0.046
Ecological Buf	fer Zone Soil Screening Leve	el (d)			40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
Northern Califo	ornia PAH Background (e)								1.5												1.5
											Δr	alvtical Re	sults (ma/	ka) (a)(b)							
											Pol	ycyclic Aro	matic Hyd	rocarbons							
Trench Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth (ft bgs)	Note	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	B(a)P Equivalents (c)
T1	1258EX100	10/20/2010	comp (f)	ASH	<0.18	<0.37	<0.018	0.22	0.31	0.29	0.67	0.15	0.25	0.69	0.38	<0.037	0.59	<0.18	0.18	0.34	1.1
202	1279TP202-D[5.5]	6/19/2013	5.5	ASH	< 0.0054	<0.0054	<0.0054	0.023	0.026	0.049	0.0075	0.012	0.027	<0.0054	0.035	<0.0054	0.0086	0.0095	0.02	0.039	0.037
203	1279TP203-D[3.5]	6/17/2013	3.5	ASH	<0.0056	0.0079	0.0140	0.086	0.12	0.23	0.098	0.046	0.079	0.036	0.09	<0.0056	0.16	<0.0056	0.037	0.077	0.20
204	1279TP204-D[2.5]	6/20/2013	2.5		<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	0.011	0.01	0.021	0.0092	0.0058	0.014	<0.0053	0.021	<0.0053	0.0095	<0.0053	0.0093	0.017	0.017
205	1279TP205-D[1]	6/17/2013	1		<0.0051	<0.0051	<0.0051	0.016	0.017	0.033	0.012	0.0079	0.023	0.0052	0.029	<0.0051	0.014	0.0085	0.013	0.024	0.029
206	1279TP206-D[2.5]	6/20/2013	2.5	ASH	<0.0051	0.0068	0.0068	0.035	0.049	0.069	0.026	0.019	0.039	0.011	0.069	<0.0051	0.03	0.016	0.044	0.055	0.074
207	1279TP207-D[1]	6/20/2013	1	ASH	< 0.0052	<0.0052	0.0057	0.045	0.057	0.094	0.032	0.024	0.047	0.013	0.049	< 0.0052	0.038	0.011	0.022	0.047	0.088
207	12/91P207-D[1]DUP	6/20/2013	1	ASH/D	<0.0057	<0.0057	0.0058	0.063	0.097	0.092	0.067	0.017	0.064	0.056	0.0410	<0.0057	0.05	0.018	0.025	0.039	0.17
208	12/91P208-D[2]	6/10/2013	2	ASH	<0.0053	0.0059		0.035	0.038	0.057	0.008	0.014	0.04	<0.0053	0.005	<0.0053	0.0099	0.00/1	0.031	0.005	0.022
209	12791F209-D[4]	6/10/2013	4		<0.0054	C00053	<0.0054	<0.012	<0.012	0.003	<0.0054	0.02	0.013	<0.0054	0.023	<0.015	<0.0083	<0.0054	<0.012	0.010	0.023
210	1279TP210-D[1]	6/19/2013	1	DUP	<0.0053	<0.0000	<0.0053	<0.0000	<0.0000	0.0000	0.0055	<0.0053	0.0055	<0.0000	0.0007	<0.0000	<0.0053	<0.0003	<0.0000	0.0056	0.007
210	1279TP214-DI21	6/18/2013	2	201	<0.0053	0.012	0.0000	0.064	0.079	0.15	0.066	0.034	0.074	0.025	0.10	<0.0053	0.091	0.0096	0.045	0.09	0.13
215	1279TP215-DI1.251	6/17/2013	1.25	I	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.014	0.018	0.011	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	0.011	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	0.012	0.022
Residential So	il Screening Level (d)				2,700		5,900	0.46	0.046	0.46	620	4.6	46.0	0.046	820	770	0.46	910	600	620	0.046
Ecological Buf	fer Zone Soil Screening Leve	el (d)			40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
Northern Califo	ornia PAH Background (e)								1.5												1.5

			Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons												_				
											Pol	ycyclic Aro	matic Hyd	rocarbons					
Trench Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth (ft bgs)	Note	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Naphthalene	
Samples colle	ected from the Debris Laye	r		-		-		-	_		-				-				
T1	1258EX100	10/20/2010	comp (f)	ASH	<0.18	<0.37	<0.018	0.22	0.31	0.29	0.67	0.15	0.25	0.69	0.38	<0.037	0.59	<0.18	
202	1279TP202-D[5.5]	6/19/2013	5.5	ASH	<0.0054	<0.0054	<0.0054	0.023	0.026	0.049	0.0075	0.012	0.027	<0.0054	0.035	<0.0054	0.0086	0.0095	
203	1279TP203-D[3.5]	6/17/2013	3.5	ASH	<0.0056	0.0079	0.0140	0.086	0.12	0.23	0.098	0.046	0.079	0.036	0.09	<0.0056	0.16	<0.0056	
204	1279TP204-D[2.5]	6/20/2013	2.5		<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	0.011	0.01	0.021	0.0092	0.0058	0.014	<0.0053	0.021	<0.0053	0.0095	<0.0053	
205	1279TP205-D[1]	6/17/2013	1		<0.0051	<0.0051	<0.0051	0.016	0.017	0.033	0.012	0.0079	0.023	0.0052	0.029	<0.0051	0.014	0.0085	
206	1279TP206-D[2.5]	6/20/2013	2.5	ASH	<0.0051	0.0068	0.0068	0.035	0.049	0.069	0.026	0.019	0.039	0.011	0.069	<0.0051	0.03	0.016	
207	1279TP207-D[1]	6/20/2013	1	ASH	<0.0052	<0.0052	0.0057	0.045	0.057	0.094	0.032	0.024	0.047	0.013	0.049	<0.0052	0.038	0.011	
207	1279TP207-D[1]DUP	6/20/2013	1	ASH/D	<0.0057	<0.0057	0.0058	0.063	0.097	0.092	0.067	0.017	0.064	0.056	0.0410	<0.0057	0.05	0.018	
208	1279TP208-D[2]	6/19/2013	2	ASH	<0.0053	0.0059	0.0065	0.035	0.038	0.057	0.008	0.014	0.04	<0.0053	0.065	<0.0053	0.0099	0.0071	
209	1279TP209-D[4]	6/19/2013	4		<0.0054	0.0085	<0.0054	0.012	0.012	0.063	<0.0054	0.02	0.013	<0.0054	0.023	0.015	0.0083	<0.0054	
210	1279TP210-D[1]	6/19/2013	1		<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	0.0088	<0.0053	<0.0053	0.0058	<0.0053	0.0067	<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	
210	1279TP210-D[1]DUP	6/19/2013	1	DUP	<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	< 0.0053	0.0075	0.0055	<0.0053	0.0055	<0.0053	0.0071	<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	<
214	1279TP214-D[2]	6/18/2013	2		<0.0053	0.012	0.0000	0.064	0.079	0.15	0.066	0.034	0.074	0.025	0.10	<0.0053	0.091	0.0096	
215	1279TP215-D[1.25]	6/17/2013	1.25		<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.014	0.018	0.011	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.011	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	
Residential Sc	il Screening Level (d)				2,700		5,900	0.46	0.046	0.46	620	4.6	46.0	0.046	820	770	0.46	910	
Ecological But	ffer Zone Soil Screening Leve	el (d)			40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	Γ
Northern Calif	ornia PAH Background (e)								1.5										

		1	1	-	1																
											Ar	alytical Re	sults (mg/l	kg) (a)(b)							
					Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons																
Trench Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth (ft bgs)	Note	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(a) anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	Benzo(g,h,i) perylene	Benzo(k) fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenz(a,h) anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3- cd)pyrene	Naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	B(a)P Equivalents (c)
Samples colle	Samples collected from the Base																				
202	1279TP202-B[6.5]	6/19/2013	6.5		<0.0055	<0.0055	<0.0055	0.012	0.017	0.019	0.0072	<0.0055	0.014	<0.0055	0.017	<0.0055	0.007	<0.0055	0.013	0.023	0.024
203	1279TP203-B[6]	6/17/2013	6		<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	< 0.0053	0.0054	<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	<0.0053	< 0.0053	< 0.0053	< 0.0053	0.006
206	1279TP206-B[3.5]	6/20/2013	3.5		<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	<0.0052	< 0.0052	ND
210	1279TP210-B[2.5]	6/19/2013	2.5		<0.0054	<0.0054	<0.0054	<0.0054	< 0.0054	<0.0054	<0.0054	<0.0054	<0.0054	< 0.0054	<0.0054	< 0.0054	<0.0054	<0.0054	< 0.0054	< 0.0054	ND
Residential So	nil Screening Level (d)	-		-	2,700		5,900	0.46	0.046	0.46	620	4.6	46.0	0.046	820	770	0.46	910	600	620	0.046
Ecological Buffer Zone Soil Screening Level (d)					40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
Northern California PAH Background (e)								1.5												1.5	
Abbreviations:																					
Not applica	able or no data	Debris - Debris layer																			

<0.50 or ND - Compound not detected at or above indicated laboratory reporting limit

ASH - Ash observed in debris layer

B(a)P - Benzo(a)pyrene

Base - Below "Debris layer"

Notes:

(a) Samples were analyzed by Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd, of Berkeley, California using EPA Method 8270C-SIM for PAHs. Results are reported to two significant figures.

(b) Bold value indicates detected concentration exceeds its respective Residential Soil Screening Level.

(c) Benzo(a)pyrene equivalents calculated with Toxicity Equivalency Factors for Carcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons from EPA Region 9 Regional Screening Levels User's Guide,

November 2013. For PAHs not included in the November 2013 User's Guide, values from the June 2011 HHRA Note Number 4 were used, as requested by DTSC. Values of one half the detection limit are used for results below the detection limit. (d) Residential Soil Screening Levels are Residential Human Health Preliminary Remediation Goals ("PRGs") from Table 7-2 of the Cleanup Level Document (EKI, 2002; with updates through 2013). Ecological Buffer Zone Soil Screening Levels are PRGs from Tables 7-2 and 7-5 of the Cleanup Level Document (EKI, 2002; with updates through 2013).

(e) Northern California upper tolerance limit background concentration for benzo(a)pyrene potency equivalent is from ENVIRON, et al., 2002. The background concentrations in this study ranged from 0.0027 mg/kg to 2.8 mg/kg.

DUP - duplicate sample

ft bgs - feet below ground surface

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram

Overburden - Overburden layer

(f) This sample is a composite of two discrete samples collected from the ash and debris layer at Trench T1 from depths of 4 and 7 feet below ground surface.

					Analytical Decults (na(a) (a)																	
												Analytic	ai kesu	its (pg/g) (a)			1				
Trench Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth (ft bgs)	Note	2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo- p-dioxin	1,2,3,4,7,8,9- Heptachlorodibenzofuran	1,2,3,4,7,8- Hexachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin	1,2,3,6,7,8- Hexachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin	1,2,3,7,8,9- Hexachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin	1,2,3,7,8,9- Hexachlorodibenzofuran	1,2,3,7,8- Pentachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin	1,2,3,7,8- Pentachlorodibenzofuran	1,2,3,6,7,8- Hexachlorodibenzofuran	1,2,3,4,7,8- Hexachlorodibenzofuran	2,3,7,8- Tetrachlorodibenzofuran	2,3,4,6,7,8- Hexachlorodibenzofuran	2,3,4,7,8- Pentachlorodibenzofuran	Octachlorodibenzofuran	1,2,3,4,6,7,8- Heptachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin	Octachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin	1,2,3,4,6,7,8- Heptachlorodibenzofuran	тсрр теа (b)
Samples collected from the Debris Layer																						
304	1279TP304-D[3.5]	9/22/2014	3.5	DEBRIS	<1.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	1.63	<5.00	<5.00	<10.0	<5.00	23.2	<5.00	1.26
305	1279TP305-D[3.5]	9/22/2014	3.5	DEBRIS	<1.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	4.97	6.01	5.49	<10.0	<5.00	29.2	16.2	4.90
A1	1279TPA1-2[2.0]D	9/23/2014	2.0	ASH	<1.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	7.91	6.66	6.71	12.5	7.99	11.9	14.5	9.39	32.4	29.8	9.53
F0	1279TPF0-1[1.5]D	9/24/2014	1.5	ASH	1.05	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	9.50	9.54	10.2	15.5	12.3	17.8	17.3	14.2	34.8	50.1	15.7
E2	1279TPF2-1[0.0-1.0]D	9/24/2014	1.0	ASH	<1.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	7.55	7.07	7.12	12.3	9.17	13 5	24.6	18.0	99.9	34.1	12.0
ΓZ	1279TPF2-1[DUP]	9/24/2014	1.0	DUP	1.14	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	8.12	7.61	7.58	14.6	9.53	14.0	15.0	19.6	85.8	32.4	13.2
G	1279TPG1-2[0.5-1.5]D	9/24/2014	1.5	ASH	<1.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	6.73	7.28	6.18	8.95	8.38	20.6	22.7	95.1	37.8	8.04
						SAN	IPLES COL	LECTED F	ROM PRE	IOUS IN	VESTIGATION	S										
Sample collecte	ed from the Overburden								-													
203	1279TP203-O[1]	6/17/2013	1	Overburden	1.79	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	6.12	7.58	7.72	9.24	9.39	10.5	1	12.8	28.4	37.9	14
Samples collec	ted from the Debris Layer	10/00/0010			(00	0.40.1		7.00			4.40.1										10	
11	1258EX100	10/20/2010	comp (c)		4.26 J	3.42 J	4.94 J	7.90 J	7.16 J	0.66 J	4.40 J	6.29 J	7.78 J	11.8 J	21.7	6.15 J	9.09 J	22.9 J	36	39	42	17.8
202	12791P202-D[5.5]	6/19/2013	5.5	Debris	<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	1.26	<5	<5	<10	<5	20.9	<5	0.738
203	12791P203-D[3.5]	6/17/2013	3.5	Debris	<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	2.14	<5	<5	<10	<5	<10	<5	1.11
204	12791P204-D[2.5]	6/20/2013	2.5	Debris	<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<1	<5	<5	<10	<5	<10	<5	0.0033
Sample collecte	ed from the Base											_					-					
203	1279TP203-B[6]	6/17/2013	6	Base	<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	3.13	<5	<5	50.5	44.8	33	22.3	4.04
Residential Soil Screening Level (d) 3.5												3.5										
TCDD TEQ Bac	kground Range (DTSC, 2010))																				7 to 20

Abbreviations:

<0.50 - Compound not detected at or above indicated laboratory reporting limit

ASH - Ash observed in debris layer

DUP - duplicate sample

ft bgs - feet below ground surface

J - Estimated concentration

pg/g - picograms per gram

TCDD - 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin

TEQ - toxic equivalent quotient

Notes:

(a) Samples collected in 2013 and 2014 were analyzed by Vista Analytical Laboratory of El Dorado Hills, California using EPA Method 1613B for dioxins and furans.

(c) This sample is a composite of two discrete samples collected from the ash and debris layer at Trench T1 from depths of 4 and 7 feet below ground surface. Composite sample was analyzed by Maxxam Analytics of Ontario, Canada using EPA Method 8290.

(d) Residential Preliminary Remediation Goal from Technical Memorandum, Human Health Soil Preliminary Goals and Toxic Equivalency Values for Dioxins and Furans, Presidio of San Francisco, California (MACTEC, 2007) [update to the Presidio Cleanup Level Document (EKI, 2002)].

Reference:

(b) TCDD TEQ value calculated by the analytical laboratory using 2005 World Health Organization Toxicity Equivalent Factors. See laboratory sheets for details.

DTSC, 2010. Memorandum from Kimiko Klein to Virginia Lasky regarding Screening Risk Evaluation, Merchant Road Land Fill, The Presidio, San Francisco, dated 25 August 2010.

I	۱	
I		
	,	
	,	

Attachment 1B: Summary of Confirmation Soil Sampling Results, LUC Area B

Sample ID	Date Collected	Sample Depth (feet bgs)	Total Lead ^a (mg/kg)	Location
1255SB102	3/28/2017	1.5	52	Forest Area
1255SB107	3/28/2017	0.75	100	Forest Area
	3/28/2017	0.25	260	
1255SB108	3/28/2017	7 0.75 200		Forest Area
	3/28/2017	1.5	8.1	
	9/15/2016	0.0	180	
1257PS111	9/15/2016	0.5	390	Forest Area
	9/15/2016	1.0	500	
1257PS104	6/03/2016	0.0	50	Forest Area
1257PS204	10/10/2016	0.3	160	Forest Area
1255SB109	3/28/2017	0.75	34	Forest Area
DUP-03282017-01	3/28/2017	0.75	110	FUIESI AIEd

Footnotes:

^aTotal lead report on a dry weight basis. **Bold** values denote results above the site specific cleanup level of 160 mg/kg (Historic Forest/Recreational Level).

Abbreviations:

bgs = below ground surface

ID = identification

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram